IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CATHERINE LANGELLA,)	
Plaintiff)	
vs.)	Civil Action No. 09-311E
THE COUNTY OF MCKEAN et al.,) }	
Defendants	{	
	,	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendants' "Motion for Protective Order Regarding Plaintiff's Direct Communications with Defendants" [ECF #43]. In their Motion, Defendants explain that "[o]ver the last six months, the Plaintiff has served dozens of letters on undersigned counsel in which she copie[d] the McKean County Commissioners; Timothy Woodruff; McKean County's Solicitor; Daniel J. Hartle, Esquire; McKean County's Prison Warden; Bradley Mason; McKean County's Chief Clerk, Audrey Irons; and/or the claims representative at the County's insurance carrier." Motion, ¶ 2. Further, "over the last six months, the Plaintiff has on numerous occasions directly corresponded with the McKean County Commissioners and/or the representative of the County's insurance carrier." Id. at ¶ 3. Based upon this conduct, Defendants ask that we issue a Protective Order that directs Plaintiff to discontinue any direct or indirect contact with these above-named individuals in matters regarding this lawsuit or be subject to sanctions.

To date, all of the contact with which the Defendants take issue in this Motion has been by mail. Therefore, we find that the "blanket" Protective Order sought by Defendants is not warranted at this time. Instead we will issue a Protective Order that states that Plaintiff may

continue to communicate with the Defendants via the mail, but she is not to directly contact these individuals in person or by telephone concerning matters related to this lawsuit. Violation of this Protective Order will result in sanctions. Moreover, we remind all parties that they must be courteous, respectful and not engage in harassment when dealing with each other.

AND NOW, this ______day of April, 2011, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendants' "Motion for Protective Order Regarding Plaintiff's Direct Communications with Defendants" [ECF #43] is granted in part and denied in part.

It is further hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff may continue to communicate with the Defendants via the mail, but she is not to directly contact the above-listed individuals in person or by telephone concerning matters related to this lawsuit. Violation of this Protective Order will result in sanctions.

Maurice B. Cohill, Jr.
Senior District Court Judge

2